

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 10/015,603	12/17/2001	Laure Monconduit-Jegou	004900-209	4768
7590 03/04/2004			EXAMINER	
Norman H. Sto	30		ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND	
BURNS, DOAN	NE,		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 1404	MATHIS, L.L.P.		1745	
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office A-4' D	10/015,603	MONCONDUIT-JEGOU ET A
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raymond Alejandro	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,3-16,18,19 and 21 is/are pending	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB ailing date of this communication, even if a December 2003. This action is non-final. Wance except for formal matter Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. In the application.	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Itimely filed, may reduce any
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-15 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-5,16,18,19 and 21 is/are rejecte 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ed.	
pplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2001</u> is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the prio	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Apporting documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Into of the certified copies not restic priority under 35 U.S.C. Spirst sentence of the specifical revisional application has been the priority under 35 U.S.C.	plication No eceived in this National Stage eceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) tion or in an Application Data Sheet. en received.
achment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sui	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) 🔲 Other:	man atom Application (FTO-132)

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This paper is in response to the amendment filed on 12/05/03. The applicants have overcome the objections, some of the 112 rejections and the art rejections. Refer to the foregoing amendment containing applicant's rebuttal arguments for more details. However, the claims are newly rejected over art as seen below. Therefore, the claims are finally rejected for the reasons of record.

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 6-15 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper 07/11/03. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 4-5, 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 4-5, 18 and 21 are indefinite as they all depend from cancelled claims 2, 17 and 20, respectively. For purpose of prosecution, claims 4-5 has been construed as depending from claim 1, claim 18 from claim 16 and claim 21 from claim 19.

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1, 3-5, 16, 18-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakura 2002/0015890 and further in view of Maeda et al 2003/0113626.

The instant application is directed to an electrode and battery wherein the disclosed inventive concept comprises the specific electrode material.

With respect to claims 1, 16, 19:

Nakura discloses lithium secondary batteries comprising a positive electrode and a negative electrode, an electrolyte (SECTION 0012). It is disclosed that suitable materials as negative electrode active materials are lithium containing metal nitride such as Li₇MnN₄ (SECTION 0006). Thus, the molar amounts "x", "y" and 4 for N are within the claimed range.

Art Unit: 1745

It is also noted that the lithium metal nitride material itself does encompass the very pnictide phase of the material.

As to claims 4-5:

Nakura discloses the use of lithium containing metal nitride such as Li_7MnN_4 (SECTION 0006). Thus, the molar amount "y", in this instance, is 1.0.

Nakura disclose an electrode material in lithium secondary batteries according to the foregoing. However, Nakura does not expressly disclose the specific element M belonging to the group IVa-Va of the periodic table in the formula.

Maeda et al disclose lithium secondary batteries using as active material for negative electrodes lithium nitride metal compounds represented by the formula $\text{Li}_x M_y N_z$ wherein Li is lithium atom, M is metal, preferably at least one selected from Mn, Ti and V among others, and N is nitrogen (SECTION 0060).

In view of the above, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the specific element M belonging to the group IVa-Va of the periodic table of Maeda et al in the electrode containing a lithium metal nitride of Nakura et al as Maeda et al disclose that, at least, Ti and V, are suitable metals for inclusion in lithium nitride metal compounds. Accordingly, such lithium nitride metal compounds containing Ti or V provides an electrochemical active material appropriate for use in lithium secondary batteries because these compounds are capable of absorbing and desorbing lithium. Furthermore, since Maeda et al do suggest the interchangeably use of Mn, Ti and V as well as their equivalency for substitution purposes in lithium nitride metal compounds, Maeda et al's teachings are found to be relevant and within the same field of endeavor.

Art Unit: 1745

8. Claims 1, 3-5, 16, 18-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda et al 2003/0113626 and further in view of Nakura 2002/0015890.

The instant application is directed to an electrode and battery wherein the disclosed inventive concept comprises the specific electrode material.

As to claims 1, 16 and 19:

Maeda et al disclose lithium secondary batteries comprising a positive electrode, a negative electrode and an electrolyte (SECTION 0071-0072) wherein the active material for the negative electrode can be lithium nitride metal compounds represented by the formula $\text{Li}_x M_y N_z$ wherein Li is lithium atom, M is metal, preferably at least one selected from Ti, V and Mn, among other elements, and N is nitrogen; wherein $1.0 \le x \le 7.0, \ 0 \le y \le 4.0$ and $0.5 \le z \le 5.0$ (SECTION 0060). It is noted that the lithium metal nitride material itself does encompass the very pnictide phase of the material.

As to claims 3, 18 and 21:

Maeda et al disclose that the metal element M is selected from Ti, V and Mn, among other elements (SECTION 0060).

Maeda et al disclose a negative electrode for lithium secondary batteries according to the foregoing. However, Maeda et al does not expressly disclose the specific molar amounts within the claimed range.

With respect to claims 1, 16, 19:

Nakura discloses a lithium secondary battery comprising a positive electrode and a negative electrode, an electrolyte (SECTION 0012). It is disclosed that suitable materials as

Art Unit: 1745

negative electrode active materials are lithium containing metal nitride such as Li_7MnN_4 (SECTION 0006). Thus, the molar amounts "x", "y" and 4 for N are within the claimed range. As to claims 4-5:

Nakura discloses the use of lithium containing metal nitride such as Li_7MnN_4 (SECTION 0006). Thus, the molar amount "y", in this instance, is 1.0.

In view of the above, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the specific molar amounts within the claimed range of Nakura et al in the electrode containing a lithium metal nitride of Maeda et al because Nakura et al teach that this lithium metal nitride material having the claimed molar amounts are being currently used as negative electrode active materials because it is capable of absorbing and desorbing lithium in lithium secondary batteries, thus, serving as an alternative to other lithium metal materials. Accordingly, lithium batteries using the instant lithium metal nitride as a negative electrode active material has superior reliability and an extended cycle life. Furthermore, since Maeda et al do suggest the interchangeably use of Mn, Ti and V as well as their equivalency for substitution purposes in lithium nitride metal compounds, Nakura et al's teachings are found to be relevant and within the same field of endeavor.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments, see the amendment filed on 12/05/03 for specific details, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 3-5, 16, 18-19 and 21 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been overcome. However, upon further consideration, a

Art Unit: 1745

new ground(s) of rejection is made as seen above. Accordingly, applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Alejandro whose telephone number is (571) 272-1282. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00 am - 6:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raymond Alejandro Examiner

Art Unit 1745